

Monitoring summary report for Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory

MONITORING ID: 25-0370615



Monitored Party	amfori ID	Address
Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory	156-012267-000	1-2F, 3 (east) Jinshan Avenue to the west Xiaoshun Town, Jindong District (In Jinhua Shaolin Tools Factory), 321000 Jinhua City, Zhejiang Sheng, China
Monitoring Activity	Monitoring Type	Monitoring Partner
amfori Quality Management Capability Audit	Full Monitoring	ALGI HOLDING COMPANY LLC
Monitoring Start Date	Closing Meeting Finished Date	Submission Date
29/12/2025	29/12/2025	09/01/2026
Expiration Date	Announcement Type	
09/01/2028	Fully Announced	
Site	Site amfori ID	
Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory	156-012267-002	

This is an extract of the online Monitoring Result, generated on 12/01/2026, and is only valid as an acknowledgement of the result. To see all the details, review the full monitoring result, which is available on the [amfori Sustainability Platform](#) - The English version is the legally binding one.

amfori does not assume any liability with regard to the compliance of this extract, or any versions of this extract, with the Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation).

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out or otherwise circulated without the amfori consent. © amfori, 2021

OVERALL RATING



SECTION RATING

Aspect 1 Infrastructure, system & environment	C	
Aspect 2 Product Design	B	
Aspect 3 Incoming materials control	A	
Aspect 4 Production control	B	
Bonus Questions	D	

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

General description of the Factory:

1. company name: Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory
2. local name: 金华市海华日用品厂
3. business license number: 913307037818353412
4. product families covered: Garden tools and plastic products.
5. audit company: ALGI HOLDING COMPANY LLC
6. audit date: 29 December 2025
7. audit type: Full Monitoring
8. audit announcement type: Fully Announced
9. factory site description:

Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory was located in 1-2F of 3# (East), West of Jinshan Avenue, Xiaoshun Town, Jindong District, Jinhua City, Zhejiang Province, China. (In Jinhua Shaolin Tools Factory). The factory used 1-2F of 3# (East) of one 3-storey building as office, production and warehouse, no dormitory and kitchen was available for workers. Total areas were about 2660 square meters. The main products produced by the factory were Garden tools and Plastic Products for EU and USA market. The main product processes were mixing, injection molding, extrusion, winding, assembly and packing. The customer's intended product was Garden tools and Plastic Products.

Remark: Observer: Carson Chen, observe purpose: witness audit.

SITE DETAILS

Site	Site amfori ID
Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory	156-012267-002

GICS Classification

Sector	Industry Group	Industry
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables & Apparel	Household Durables
Sub Industry		
Housewares & Specialties		

amfori Process Classifications

Packaging / Packing and shipping
Extrusion (single screw/ twin screw/ co-extrusion/ reactive extrusion / extrusion coating) - plastic
Moulding / Molding: injection moulding / rotomoulding (plastics)
Winding/rolling

NACE Classification

Manufacture of cutlery, tools and general hardware
--

GS1 Classifications

Segment	Tools/Equipment - Hand
Family	Tools/Equipment - Hand
Product Class	Measuring/Levelling/ Marking Tools

Water Stress Situation

This site is not located in a water stressed region

METRICS

Key Metrics

Total workforce - day of audit	44	Workers
--------------------------------	----	---------

Other Metrics

Total workforce in general	44	Workers
Male workers	12	Workers
Female Workers	32	Workers
Domestic - Local	15	Workers
Domestic - Non-Local	29	Workers
Foreign Immigrant	0	Workers
Directly Hired	44	Workers
Indirectly Hired	0	Workers
Permanent	44	Workers
Temporary	0	Workers
Seasonal	0	Workers

FINDINGS



Aspect 1 Infrastructure, system & environment

Site: Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory | Site amfori ID: 156-012267-002

Question: 1.1.1 Are the building(s) and facility(ies) able to support production activities?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.1.1 Findings

A few machines in mixing and injection molding areas were dirty, and the floor of mixing, injection molding areas and molding tools storage areas were not clean.

工厂拌料和注塑工序少部分设备有脏污，拌料，注塑地面及模具存区域不干净。

1.1.1 Description: 1. The factory layout/flow logical was generally in good manner. 2. Most equipment and machines were available and suitable to manufacture products concerned. 3. Factory buildings were maintained in good condition. 4. On site observation, electrical connections and compressed air generators were in good condition. 5. Maintenance plan and records were in place.

Question: 1.2.1 Is the factory clean and tidy?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.2.1 Findings:

The factory has installed pest control facilities on-site, but no fly killing lamp or mouse trap were placed in finished goods warehouse on 3F.

工厂有设置了虫害控制设施，但在3F成品区域未设置灭蝇灯和鼠笼。

Question: 1.3.1 Are the conditions of storage able to prevent inventories from deterioration or aging?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.3.1 Findings:

The factory did not implement FIFO.

工厂没有执行先进先出。

1.3.1 Description:

Based on site tour, the raw materials and components were stored in designated areas without exposing to adverse environmental conditions. No sign of deformation / peeling / rust / mildew or other form of aging was found.

Finding	
Sampled Materials or component: Plastic material, color powder and metal garden line.	

Question: 1.3.2 Is the condition of storage able to prevent inventories from being contaminated by undesirable foreign objects?

ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
1.3.2 Finding: 1. About 10% raw materials and packing materials were stored on floor and against wall and window in materials storage area. 2. About 10% finished products packed in carton were stored on floor and against wall in finished products warehouse.	1. 约10%的原料及包材落地存放并靠墙靠窗存放。 2. 约10%的成品落地并靠墙存放。

Question: 1.3.3 Are actual balance and identification of inventories matched with the inventory ledger?

ENGLISH
Finding
1.3.3 Description: 1. On site observation, all materials were labelled for tracing. 2. In warehouse, auditor selected three batches of materials as below, factory could provide all incoming/outgoing records. 1. Plastic materials (PP/25KG, 13 package), lot number: 15-3061989, Quantity: 328208g, incoming date: September 14, 2025; 2. Color powder (Green 3308C, 70g), lot number: 15-3061989, Quantity: 4500g, incoming date: September 15, 2025; 3. Metal garden line (100m), lot number: 15-3061989, Quantity: 12520 meters, incoming date: October 22, 2025.

Question: 1.4.1 Are quality policy, quality objectives and quality management system manual documented?

ENGLISH
Finding
1.4.1 Description: The factory did not obtain ISO:9001 2015 certificate. However, the quality policy (Full participation, refined management, continuous improvement, customer satisfaction) and quality objectives (Customer satisfaction more than 96%/year, First Pass Rate of Client inspection more than 95%/month, client compliant handling rate meet 100% etc.) were defined in Quality Manual (SC/HH-2019, A/0, Dec.30, 2019).

Question: 1.5.1 Are organization chart prepared to show the reporting line of departments of the producer?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.5.1 Description:
Organization chart (updated in December 2024) showed the reporting line.

Question: 1.5.2 Is QA independent from Production?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.5.2 Description:
Based on the O-chart, Quality Department is independent from Production Department. There were 2 IQC, 1 IPQC, 1 OQC and 1 QA Manager in the factory.

Question: 1.6.1 Does the producer have a documented procedure for monitoring updates of legal and regulatory requirements applicable to product safety?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.6.1 Description:
Documented procedure for Legal and regulatory acquisition and update control (Q/HH.QP-33-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019) was established, which required regularly updating on the legal and regulatory, sample making technical staff was responsible for it. Safety standard related to its business and hazardous substance testing including RoHS, REACH etc. were available, which was controlled by the factory.

Question: 1.6.2 Are updates of legal and regulatory requirements converted into internal procedures, work instructions or other documents used to control activities such as design change, purchasing, production and inspection?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.6.2 Description:
The factory had established the documented procedure of Legal and regulatory acquisition and update control (Q/HH.QP-33-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019) and required to monitor and update legal and regulatory requirements applicable to product safety, which were converted into internal procedures, working instructions etc. by technical department.

Question: 1.6.3 Does the producer confirm all updates are implemented before release of the first affected shipment?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.6.3 Description:

Production change procedure (Q/HH.QP-29-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019) was established, and production change records were maintained, per interview with personnel from different functions to confirm the procedure was known and understood correctly.

Question: 1.7.1 Is supplier evaluation and selection procedure documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.7.1 Description:

Suppliers were selected and evaluated according to Supplier Management Control Procedure (Q/HH.QP-17-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019).

Question: 1.7.2 Are supplier evaluation and selection activities implemented according to the documented procedure?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.7.2 Description:

Suppliers were selected and evaluated according to Supplier Management Control Procedure (Q/HH.QP-17-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019). Supplier performance data are collected by month and reviewed once per year.

Samples for check: a supplier of plastic materials, a supplier of color powder, and a supplier of metal garden line.

Question: 1.7.3 Are records maintained to confirm raw materials are purchased from approved suppliers?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.7.3 Description:

Approved supplier list was available, and purchase order, incoming inspection records, materials label showed materials were purchased from approved supplier.

Question: 1.7.4 Are material specifications and delivery requirements specified in purchasing documents?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.7.4 Description:

Materials specification was available and specified in purchasing documents.

Question: 1.8.1 Does the producer have a dedicated personnel or team to perform field failure analysis as well as impact assessment (track down production lots and materials that may be affected)?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.8.1 Findings:
The factory did not have a dedicated personnel or team to perform field failure analysis as well as impact assessment (track down production lots and materials that may be affected).

1.8.1 Description:
The Quality Department was responsible for failure analysis. Customer Complaint Handling Procedure (Q/HH.QP-14-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019) and Product recall control procedure (Q/HH.QP-16-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019) were established covered the requirement.

工厂没有专门的人员或团队来执行现场故障分析及影响评估（跟踪可能受影响的生产批次和材料）。

Question: 1.8.2 Are inventories traceable by using shipment information or date code on products and vice versa?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

1.8.2 Findings:
50% raw materials and incoming accessories (such as plastic particle and steel wire etc.) were not identified with Lot numbers and date code in incoming materials warehouses , which was difficult to ensure traceability.

1.8.2 Description:
Management stated the production order number was used for traceability. It was recorded on purchasing documents, production records, inspection records, stocking records and shipment records for traceability.

工厂50%原材料和辅料如塑料粒子，线材等无批次号或日期标识，难以有效确保追溯。

Question: 1.9.1 Does the producer have a training program for new workers?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.9.1 Description:
Training procedure, training plan and training records were available. New workers are provided with training on operation and quality requirements.
Samples for check: training for new operators on Oct. 20, 2025, quality inspection requirement training for

Finding

related inspectors on Apr.23, 2025, and legal requirement training for related inspectors in Nov. 2025.

Question: 1.10.1 Are the versions of sampled procedure, work instructions, documents, forms correct when comparing with the approved master copies?

ENGLISH**Finding**

1.10.1 Description:

The versions of sampled procedures, work instructions, documents, and forms were correct when comparing with the approved master copies.

Question: 1.11.1 Does the producer plan and conduct internal audit at least once a year?

ENGLISH**Finding**

1.11.1 Description:

Internal audit was performed once per year according to Internal Audit Control Procedure (Q/HH.QP-05-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019). The previous one was carried out on March 3-4, 2025.

Question: 1.11.2 Are needs for improvement identified and reported to management with supporting evidence?

ENGLISH**Finding**

1.11.2 Description:

Internal audit procedure was available, and internal audit should be done annually, and the latest dated was on March 3-4, 2025.

Internal audit plan, checklist, and CAPA records for non-conforming item were available. The management participated in the annual internal audit and management review, and provided resource support for improvement needs.

Question: 1.12.1 Are quality objectives monitored and reviewed by factory management at least on a monthly basis?

ENGLISH**LOCAL LANGUAGE****Finding**

1.12.1 Findings:

The factory established quality objectives, but no monthly monitoring and reviewing records provided.

工厂建立了质量目标，但未提供每月监视和评审记录。

Question: 1.12.2 Are actions taken to improve quality performance with inputs from monitoring of quality objectives, customer complaints, field return and internal audits findings?

ENGLISH

Finding

1.12.2 Description:

Actions were taken to improve quality according to Corrective Action Control Procedure (Q/HH.QP-08-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019). Management review was performed once per year. The previous one was conducted on Mar.9, 2025. And CAPA records showed to prevent re-occurrence were made following incidents such as product recall, non-conformance from external and internal audit/inspections and serious complaint trends, when the results were adverse to the factory's set targets.

Aspect 2 Product Design

Site: Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory | Site amfori ID: 156-012267-002

Question: 2.1. Does the producer have design responsibility?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.1 Description:

The factory has not design responsibility.

Question: 2.2.1 Is compliance to product safety and regulatory requirements supported by laboratory testing report?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.2.1 Description:

In-house laboratory was set; test procedure and testing records were available. Products were also sent to qualified third party to conduct safety and regulatory testing, sampled testing report of HBCCWPAX, testing on Apr.18, 2025 by BV supported it.

Question: 2.3.1 Is product technical documentation distributed with identifications and versions to prevent mis-use?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.3.1 Description:

The in-house testing capability was adequate. The factory conducted measurement for dimension, functional testing and required safety testing by supplier of plastic materials; the factory also sent products to conduct safety or regulatory testing by client requirement or hazardous substances on requirement of sales country.

Question: 2.4.1 Are risks associated with potential product safety and/or possible mis-use communicated to and accepted by customer?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

2.4.1 Findings:
The factory has not provided documented evidence that the customer has been informed and accepted of the potential safety hazards and/or risks associated with misuse of the product.

工厂没有提供记录证据证明产品的潜在安全隐患和/或因误用而可能造成的风险已告知客户并获其接纳。

Question: 2.5.1 Is product design change to product, which affects safety and key function of products, implemented after receiving affected customers' acknowledgement?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.5.1 Description:
Product change was implemented according to Production change procedure (Q/HH.QP-29-2019,A, Dec.30, 2019). No product change was made in the last 12 months.

Question: 2.5.2 Can the products before and after product design changes be distinguished on the products itself or sales packaging?

ENGLISH

Finding

2.5.2 Description:
Product design changes could be distinguished on the products itself or sales packaging, which was approved by client and distributed to production by technical staff.

Aspect 3 Incoming materials control

Site: Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory | Site amfori ID: 156-012267-002

Question: 3.1.1 Is incoming materials inspection implemented on site?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.1.1 Description:
Incoming materials inspection activities were implemented according to the documented procedure or work instructions. The factory has established corresponding inspection specifications for each type of material and provided them to the inspectors.

Question: 3.1.2 Is incoming material inspection activity documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.1.2 Description:
Incoming Inspection instruction (HH/PZ01-2018, Dec.25, 2018) was established and covered IQC inspection requirements and inspection samples were available on-site for inspectors' reference.

Question: 3.1.3 Are incoming materials inspection activities implemented according to the documented procedure or work instructions?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.1.3 Description:
Incoming materials are inspected according to inspection instruction.
Samples for check: 1. Appearance check, dimension check, weight and color check for plastic materials (PP/25KG, 13 package), lot number: 15-3061989, Quantity: 328208g, incoming date: September 14, 2025;
2. Appearance check, weight and color check for color powder (Green 3308C, 70g), lot number: 15-3061989, Quantity: 4500g, incoming date: September 15, 2025;
3. Appearance check, dimension check and weight check for metal garden line (100m), lot number: 15-3061989, Quantity: 12520 meters, incoming date: October 22, 2025.

Question: 3.1.4 Are records maintained to confirm effective implementation of incoming materials inspection activities?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.1.4 Description:
Incoming material inspection records are maintained. Sample checked materials inspection records, records were provided during the audit.

Question: 3.2.1 Are rejected incoming materials kept in a designated location for disposal with identification that will prevent mis-use?

ENGLISH

Finding

3.2.1 Description:
Designated non-conforming materials storage area was available, non- conforming products in production area were segregated and labelled. Non- conforming materials review records and CAPA records for non-conforming materials were available.

Aspect 4 Production control

Site: Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory | Site amfori ID: 156-012267-002

Question: 4.1.1 Does the producer have a production scheduling process?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.1.1 Findings:
Production schedule was not provided for review during audit.

审核期间，工厂没有提供生产排期表。

Question: 4.1.2 Is production control implemented on site covering key production steps?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.1.2 Description:
The main production processes were mixing, injection molding, extrusion, winding, assembly and packing, which were controlled based on work instruction, reference samples and worksheet. First piece sample provided on site in each workshop. Production was arranged by orders.

Question: 4.1.3 Is production control activity documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.1.3 Description:
Monthly production records was available. Approved sample, work instructions were attached on the workstation for workers' reference.

Question: 4.1.4 Are production line and workshops set up according to the documented procedure or work instructions?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.1.4 Description:
The production line and workshops were set up according to the documented procedures and working instructions, reference samples were also set on site.

Question: 4.2.1 Are inventories identified to prevent mixing or mis-use with part number and lot number?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.2.1 Findings:
Details on model No. and lot No. were not identified on the semi-finished products in the factory.

工厂未在半成品上标识型号和批号的信息。

Question: 4.3.1 Are work instructions and workmanship standard followed by workers?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.3.1 Findings:

The table of injection molding machine parameters sampled in the injection molding workshop for employees' reference was handwritten and had not been formed into an operational parameter table for employees' reference.

注塑车间抽样的注塑设备参数供员工参考的表格为手写，未形成作业参数表格供员工参考。

4.3.1 Description:

The injection molding parameters table was kept in office.

Question: 4.4.1 Are production equipment & tools at workstations maintained with a schedule to ensure they function properly?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.4.1 Description:

The factory established machine/equipment (e.g. mixing, injection molding, extrusion molding, winding, assembly and packing etc. machine/equipment) maintenance plan.

Question: 4.4.2 Is the date of the last maintenance recorded?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.4.2 Description:

Machines and equipment maintenance program was in place. Maintenance was carried out once per one month. Daily maintenance checking records were kept on-site.

Question: 4.5.1 Are QC instructions documented and made available at line QC stations?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.1 Description:

Online Inspection instruction (QIP001, Dec.25, 2018) was established and provided to Line QC.

Question: 4.5.2 Are inspection and testing conducted according to QC instructions?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.2 Description:

Inspection and testing were conducted according to inspection and testing instructions.
First article inspection, patrolling inspection 2 hours per shift were conducted on-site.

Question: 4.5.3 Are inspection and testing records maintained to confirm effective implementation of inspection and testing activities?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.3 Description:

IPQC inspection records for injection process and assembly process were sampled, records were kept on-site.

Question: 4.5.4 Are product safety requirements documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.4 Description:

There were clear document guidelines for product safety requirements, and both internal and external testing were conducted in accordance with the document guidelines.
Functional, appearance, dimension, color and COA of materials were conducted for incoming components and finished products internally and the factory sent products to qualified third party for safety regulations and hazardous substances (RoHS/REACH) per sales country requirement or client requirement.

Question: 4.5.5 Are product safety inspection or testing conducted in accordance with legal and regulatory requirements?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.5 Description:

The factory had one lab for functional testing and testing reports were kept for review. The internal testing was conducted according to internal testing instructions, which was established per sales country requirement or client requirement.
The factory also sent products to qualified third party for safety regulations and hazardous substances (RoHS/REACH) per sales country requirement or client requirement.

Question: 4.5.6 Are inspection and testing records maintained to confirm effective implementation of product safety inspection and testing activities?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.5.6 Description:

In process inspection and test records were available and provided for review.

Question: 4.6.1 Are rejected inventory kept in a designated location for disposal with identification that will prevent them from use or shipping out?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.6.1 Description:

Rejected inventory were kept in a designated location for disposal with identification.

Question: 4.7.1 Does process monitoring and measurement equipment at workstations, QC stations function properly and provide adequate resolution to distinguish defective parts or products from conforming ones?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.7.1 Description:

Equipment list and calibration plan was established.

Question: 4.7.2 Is calibration status of equipment supported by calibration records and valid?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.7.2 Description:

All measuring and test facilities were calibrated with calibration labels and calibration records.

Question: 4.8.1 Are inventories rejected or found not suitable for use segregated from the conforming ones and identified to prevent mis-use?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.8.1 Description:

Rejected inventory were kept in a designated location for disposal with identification.

Question: 4.8.2 Are reworked inventories inspected in the same way as those processed in the normal production line?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.8.2 Description:
Re-work and re-inspection records were available.

Question: 4.9.1 Are foreign objects control measures documented?

ENGLISH

Finding

4.9.1 Description:
Foreign body control procedure, sharp tool control procedure and glass item control procedure were available. Sharp tools were tethered with daily checking records kept on-site.

Question: 4.9.2 Are the quantities of small production tools that can be mixed into to finished products checked at the beginning and end of each production shift?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.9.2 Findings:
The factory didn't conduct small production tools (such as screwdriver and small tools etc.) check at the beginning and end of each production shift.

生产开始和结束时工厂没有进行小工具(螺丝起子和小工具等)数量检查。

Question: 4.10.1 Is usage of chemicals controlled to prevent restricted or hazardous substances from contacting the inventories?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

4.10.1 Findings:
One barrel chemical (e.g. lubricating oil) was not controlled to prevent restricted or hazardous substances from contacting the inventories in injection workshop, and without indication of restricted usage dates.

工厂注塑车间有1桶润滑油没有适当的管控以防止限制或有害物质接触产品，也未标识限制使用日期。

Bonus Questions

Site: Jinhua Haihua Daily Necessities Factory | Site amfori ID: 156-012267-002

Question: 1.1.1 Does producer deploy same team of workers for producing products rather using "come and go" freelance workers?

ENGLISH

Finding

B1.1.1 Description:

No freelance worker was used. All workers in the factory are permanent.

Question: 1.2.1 Does the producer implement a risk assessment tool, e.g. FMEA, to evaluate risks and prioritize actions to mitigate risks with risk level classified as high?

ENGLISH**Finding**

B1.2.1 Description:

Risk assessment procedure and risk assessment records were available, the factory used PFMEA and DFMEA to evaluate risks and prioritize actions to mitigate risks with risk level classified as high.

Question: 1.2.2 Have all actions determined to mitigate risks taken with supporting evidence (e.g. updated procedure or WI, internal audit report)?

ENGLISH**LOCAL LANGUAGE****Finding**

B1.2.2 Findings:
No risk mitigation action was implemented.

没有执行缓解风险的措施。

Question: 1.3.1 Does the producer evaluate possible disruptive incidents and formulate disaster recovery plans to maintain a certain level of operation to support shipment demands?

ENGLISH**Finding**

B1.3.1 Description:

The factory had established disaster recovery plan.

Question: 1.3.2 Did the producer conduct drill to test the disaster recovery plan with supporting evidence?

ENGLISH**LOCAL LANGUAGE****Finding**

B1.3.2 Findings:
The factory did not conduct drill to test the disaster recovery plan with supporting evidence.

工厂缺少证据支持其执行灾难恢复计划的演练。

Question: 2.1.1 Is the testing report issued by third party test laboratory recognized internationally with accredited test scope?

ENGLISH

Finding

B2.1.1 Description:

The testing report issued by third party test laboratory was recognized internationally with accredited test scope.

Sample for check: inspection report No. HB4FIAX0 and testing report No. HBCCWPAX, issued by qualified third party: BV.

Question: 2.1.2 Is the producer's laboratory recognized by internationally recognized third party test laboratory to conduct product safety tests against legal and regulatory requirements?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

B2.1.2 Findings:

The factory laboratory was not recognized by internationally recognized third party test laboratory.

工厂的实验室未得到国际公认的第三方测试实验室的认可。

Question: 3.1.1 Are random sampling inspection conducted at various stages of production?

ENGLISH

Finding

B3.1.1 Description:

Random sampling inspection was conducted after injection, extrusion, assembling and packaging process.

Question: 3.2.1 Are shipments inspected by random sampling?

ENGLISH

Finding

B3.2.1 Description:

Shipments were inspected by random sampling. Sample size Level II for products AQL: 0(Critical)/1.0(Major)/4.0(Minor) or per client requirement.

Question: 3.2.2 Is the inspection result of random sampling of finished products accepted by customer for waiving Final Random Inspection by the customer or its representative?

ENGLISH

LOCAL LANGUAGE

Finding

B3.2.2 Findings:

Final Random Inspection was not waived by amfori QMI member.

客户 (amfori QMI member) 没有豁免验货。

Question: 4.1.1 Is the producer currently producing products exporting to more than 1 region?

ENGLISH
Finding
B4.1.1 Description: Products were exported to USA and EU.

Question: 4.1.2 Did the producer receive written commendation from its customers?	
ENGLISH	LOCAL LANGUAGE
Finding	
B4.1.2 Findings: No written commendation was received from its customers.	工厂未获得客户的书面表扬。